

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

**IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT**

In re TODD D., a Person Coming Under the
Juvenile Court Law.

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

V.

TODD D.,

Defendant and Appellant.

F042522

(Super. Ct. No. 02CEJ600552-1)

OPINION

THE COURT*

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Fresno County. Gregory T. Fain, Judge.

Andrew Cappelli, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

Bill Lockyer, Attorney General, Robert R. Anderson, Chief Assistant Attorney General, Jo Graves, Senior Assistant Attorney General, and Carlos A. Martinez, Deputy Attorney General, for Plaintiff and Respondent.

-ooOoo-

* Before Buckley, Acting P.J., Wiseman, J., and Levy, J.

On December 4, 2002, Todd D. admitted an allegation filed pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code section 602 that he feloniously committed a second-degree burglary (Pen. Code, § 459).¹ At the conclusion of the adjudication hearing on December 18, 2002, the juvenile court placed Todd on probation and in the custody of a group home.

Todd left the group home without permission and was arrested on February 8, 2003. On February 10, 2003, Todd admitted that he violated a condition of his probation. On February 27, 2003, the juvenile court ordered Todd's commitment to boot camp for a term not to exceed 365 days.² Todd appeals from the juvenile court's order.

Todd's appointed appellate counsel has filed an opening brief, which summarizes the pertinent facts, raises no issues, and requests this court independently to review the record. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) The opening brief also includes the declaration of appellate counsel indicating that Todd was advised he could file his own brief with this court. By letter of June 18, 2003, we invited Todd to submit additional briefing. To date he has not done so.

After independent review of the record, we have concluded no reasonably arguable legal or factual argument exists.

The judgment is affirmed.

¹ The petition originally alleged a first-degree burglary but was amended by the prosecutor's motion. In exchange for Todd's admission of the amended allegation, a misdemeanor trespass allegation from a subsequent petition was dismissed.

² The court awarded Todd custody credits of 48 days. By motion of Todd's appellate counsel, the juvenile court amended its award of custody credits to 50 days.